

**Review of Clarence Regional Library Committee**

**Attendance:**

- Always get a quorum
- Occasionally struggle to get a quorum
- Often struggle to get a quorum

**Receipt of Reports:**

- Well in advance
- Needs some improvement
- Not satisfied

**Report legibility:**

- Clear and logical
- Some improvements required
- Major improvements required

**Minutes:**

- Clear and logical
- Some improvements required
- Major improvements required

**Council acceptance of reports:**

- Accepted by Council without change
- Some changes made

**Service Agreement:**

- Suitable for our functions
- Needs further refinement
- Still requires major changes

**Issues considered relevant to the role of the committee:**

- Accurately reflect its purpose
- Not sufficient given the role of the committee
- Too detailed

**Key achievements:**

---

---

---

---

**Key disappointments:**

---

---

---

---

**How satisfied are you with: (tick the space)**

	Very	Somewhat	Not
(i) Meeting frequency	___	___	___
(ii) Meeting length	___	___	___
(iii) Issues considered	___	___	___
(iv) Council Support	___	___	___
(v) Other members	___	___	___
(vi) Access to Agenda input	___	___	___
(vii) Recording of Minutes	___	___	___
(viii) Follow-up to actions	___	___	___
(ix) Committee management	___	___	___

**Overall Member satisfaction:**

- High
- Medium
- Low

**Suggestions for change:**

---

---

---

---

---

**Would you seek nomination again?**

- Yes  No